

# CRITERIA RESEARCH PAPER

*Assessment criteria for the research paper. Aspects of the research paper that will be relevant to the determination of a final grade are as follows: Problem.*

LNCS, vol. Paper is effectively organized into sections. Huang, X. Coherence, cohesion, and transitions need work. Preview Unable to display preview. Mao, Y. Bollacker, K. You did not provide a proposal. Transitions may be a bit forced. The paragraphs have a general focus, but some sentences are unrelated. You had excellent topic sentences and logical development. The formatting follows the models excellently. Transitions are logical and effective. The criteria for manuscript evaluation below will guide evaluation. These provide a competent but uninspired framework for your argument. You had topic sentences, but they did not support your thesis. You provide support in an apparently random order. Title accurately reflects content. You started with one audience, but switched to another one. If you cannot effectively cover a topic within 20 pages, it might be time to switch careers to blogging or advertising. Moreover, we propose, test and compare several approaches to determine the neighbourhood in the Collaborative filtering process such as to increase the accuracy of the recommendation. All pertinent literature, including most recent work, is included. Your support is of the "crank" variety; i. You provided an audience with a proposal, but there is some question whether your audience an act on it. The formatting does not match the model. Matsatsinis, N. Particularly, in this work special attention is given to the Collaborative filtering process, were a multi-criteria approach is used to evaluate the articles, allowing researchers to denote their interest in specific parts of articles. The paragraphs read like a list, with no connection between the sentences. Your support is clear and provides a forceful case for your argument. You provide support in the proper places and give a basic case for your argument. Kapoor, N. Formatting Annoyances: i. Brusilovsky, P. You had a weak audience. The paper should have the following sections: Title, Author, Abstract, Introduction, Statement of Objectives or Purpose, Subsections depending on the topic, and a Summary. Your audience was too broad for example, you addressed the "readers of Time. You had topic sentences, but they were not logical. Paragraphs are focused, unified, and coherent. You stated a general solution, but did not provide your audience with a specific action to take. All entries in Literature Cited are referred to in the text. All citations are present in Literature Cited section. You had both topic sentences and logical development. Burke, R.